ETHERIDGE CAROLYN E 02/09/82 134321 PRINTER: LI
82 SEOUL 10993

690

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
PAGE 01 SEOUL 10993 2303232
ACTION EA-12
INFO OCT-01 ARA-00 SSO-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 NSAE-00
NSCE-00 ICAE-00 INRE-00 PM-07 H-01 INR-10 L-03
PA-01 SP-02 SS-15 HA-05 /057 W
------------------032045 2303262 /63

R

O 2303192 AUG 80
FM AMEMBASSY SEOUL
TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 8609
INFO SA CINCUNC KS
CHJUSMAG SEOUL KS
COMUSKOREA SEOUL KS//FJ-IS
CINCPAC HONOLULU HI
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE SEOUL 10993
E.O. 12065: N/A
TAGS: PGOV KS US
SUBJECT: AMERICAN MENTIONED IN KIM DAE JUNG TRIAL
REF: STATE 222665

1. THE PORTION OF THE INDICTMENT WHICH REFERS TO BAKER READS AS FOLLOWS, ACCORDING TO THE TRANSLATION WHICH APPEARED IN THE KOREA TIMES OF AUGUST 15. IT IS INCLUDED UNDER THE SECTION OF THE INDICTMENT WHICH DEALS WITH THE HANMINTONG, AND WAS READ WITH THE REST OF THE INDICTMENT ON THE TRIAL'S OPENING DAY: QUOTE IN EARLY AUGUST, 1979, HE (KIM DAE JUNG) RECEIVED 200,000 YEN FROM TOKUMA UTSUNOMIYA, A PRO-NORTH KOREAN JAPANESE PARLIAMENTARY MEMBER, THROUGH KIM HOK-YONG. IN APRIL, 1980, HE RECEIVED DOLS 10,000 FROM HIS BROTHER-IN-LAW LEE SONG-HO IN MARYLAND, THE U.S., THROUGH AN AMERICAN NAMED EDWARD BAKE. HE DID NOT REPORT THE TWO CASES WITHIN 10 DAYS AFTER HE TOOK THE MONEY, VIOLATING THE FOREIGN EXCHANGE CONTROL LAW. UNQUOTE.

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
PAGE 02 SEOUL 10993 2303232

2. WHEN THE QUESTIONING OF KIM ON THE INDICTMENT REACHED THE POINT AT ISSUE, THE PROSECUTOR SAID (PARAPHRASE): DID YOU RECEIVE DOLLARS TEN THOUSAND FROM YOUR BROTHER-IN-LAW LEE SONG-HO THROUGH THE AMERICAN E. BAKE? KIM SAID YES. THE PROSECUTOR THEN SAID: DID YOU GIVE BAKE DOLLARS FIVE HUNDRED FOR DELIVERING THE MONEY? KIM SAID YES.

3. WITH REGARD TO THE QUOTE FREENESS AND ALERTNESS WITH WHICH KIM IS TESTIFYING UNQUOTE, WE CAN ADD LITTLE TO WHAT WE HAVE REPORTED IN OUR DAILY TRIAL REPORTS. HE HAS ADMITTED SOME OF THE CHARGES AND DENIED OTHERS. WHETHER THIS HAS BEEN TACTICAL WE HAVE NO WAY OF KNOWING. MONJO

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

DEPARTMENT OF STATE A/CDC/MR
REVIEWED BY HT DATE 8/19/82
RDS ☐ or XDS ☐ EXT. DATE ____
TS AUTH. ____ REASON (S) ____
ENDORSE EXISTING MARKINGS ☐
DECLASSIFIED ☐ RELEASABLE ☒
RELEASE DENIED ☐
PA or FOI EXEMPTIONS ____